Namo

Name:			Date Su					CUDFIC Formatte	d by Latisha Brooks	
SCORING DOMAIN - THESIS										
1 point					0 points					
					For any of the following:					
Responds to the prompt with a defensible thesis that may					☐There is no defensible thesis.					
establish a line of reasoning.					☐There is a thesis, but it does not respond to the prompt.					
Responds to the prompt rather than restating or rephrasing					☐The intended thesis only restates the prompt.					
the prompt.					☐ The intended thesis is vague, must be inferred, does not take a position,					
AND					equivocates or summarizes other's arguments but no the student's (e.g.,					
□Clearly takes a position rather than just stating there are					some people say it's good, some people say it's bad)					
pros/cons.					☐ The intended thesis simply states an obvious fact rather than making a					
'					claim that requires a defense.					
** The thesis may appear anywhere within the essay. A thesis that meets the criteria can be awarded the point whether or not the rest of the response										
successfully supports that line of reasoning.										
SCORING DOMAIN – EVIDENCE AND COMMENTARY										
4 points			3 points		2 pc	oints		1 point	0 points	
	ts 3 and 4 l	MUST	AVE A THESISI		□Provides evi	idence fro	om or	□Provides	☐Simply restates	
Score points 3 and 4 MUST			I HAVE A THESIS!		references at least THREE			evidence from or	thesis (if present).	
□ Provides evidence from or □ Pr		□Prov	Provides evidence from or		of the provided sources.		S.	references at least	OR	
references at least T	HREE of				TWO of the	□Fewer than TWO of				
the provided sources						ant to the <u>subject</u> of		provided sources .	the provided sources	
			dence provided must be		the prompt.			Evidence provided	are referenced.	
			vant to the thesis.		AND			must be relevant to	AND	
AND			AND	□ Provides commenta			ry;	the <u>subject</u> of the prompt.	□Provides examples	
			rides commentary th	ıat	however, it repeats,			AND	that are generally	
			ns the relationship						irrelevant and/or	
consistently and explicitly between evidence					misinterprets the cited information or evidence.			□ Provides little or	incoherent.	
	explains the relationship thesis; however, comm etween evidence and the is uneven , limited , and			ar y	information of evidence.			no commentary.	Response is incoherent	
thesis.	,				Commentary that frequently			Only uses TWO of the	and does not address the	
illesis.					misunderstands, misrepresents			provided sources	prompt; may offer just	
	Engages specific details from Occasional lapses in description or				or overgeneralizes complex				opinion from a single	
sources to draw conclusions; summary rather than e integrates evidence from sources time when link between					ideas; summarizes conflicting positions from sources, but fails				source; does not reference information	
	s evidence from sources time when link between evidence positions from to support reasoning. and thesis may be strained. positions from to compare, co							from any of the provided		
tilloughout to support	conclusion.							sources.		
** Writing that suffers from grammatical and/or mechanical errors that interfere with communication cannot earn the fourth point in this row.										
SCORING DOMAIN - SOPHISTICATION										
1 point 0 points										
Demonstrates sophistication of thought and/or complex underst					anding of the rhetorical Does not meet the criteria f			or 1 point.		
situation.					□Atte			tempts to contextualize the text, but such		
☐ Crafts a thesis that demands nuanced consideration of textual evid					idence to prove – and attempts consist of predo			•	•	
then successfully proves it.					generalizations.				, , ,	
□Explains the significance or relevance of the text's purpose within a l					a broader context.			hints or suggests other	er arguments.	
☐Contains an engaging concession, rebuttal, and/or refutation of other arguments relating							□Examines individual rhetorical choices but do not			
to the thesis.							examine the relationships among different choices			
							throughout the text.			
☐ Makes effective rhetorical choices that strengthen the force and impact of the student's							□ Oversimplifies complexities in the text.			
, i								s complicated or comp		
•	le that is est	necially v	vivid persuasive co	nvinci	ng or appropriat	te to				
the student's argume	Utilizes a prose style that is especially vivid, persuasive, convincing, or appropriate to the student's argument language that are ineffective in that they do not enhance their analysis.									
** The point should be awarded only if the demonstration of sophistication or complex understanding is part of the argument, not merely a phrase or										
reference.										
			SCOF	RE A	ND CONVE	ERSIO	N			
Exem	Proficient		tisfactory			erging	Unsatisfactory			
6 points = 100	4 points = 80	3	points = 70	2 poir	nts = 60	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	0 points = 0			
	5 points				, .	_ pon			7 7 7 0	