Locavores are people who have decided to eat locally grown or produced products as much as possible. With an eye to nutrition as well as sustainability (resource use that preserves the environment), the locavore movement has become widespread over the past decade.

Imagine that a community is considering organizing a locavore movement. Carefully read the following seven sources, including the introductory information for each source. Then synthesize information from at least three of the sources and incorporate it into a coherent, well-developed essay that identifies the key issues associated with the locavore movement and examines their implications for the community.

Make sure that your argument is central; use the sources to illustrate and support your reasoning. Avoid merely summarizing the sources. Indicate clearly which sources you are drawing from, whether through direct quotation, paraphrase, or summary. You may cite the sources as Source A, Source B, etc., or by using the descriptions in parentheses.

Source A (Maiser)
Source B (Smith and MacKinnon)
Source C (McWilliams)
Source D (chart)
Source E (Gogoi)
Source F (Roberts)
Source G (cartoon)
The following is an article from a group Weblog written by individuals who are interested in the benefits of eating food grown and produced locally.

Eating local means more for the local economy. According to a study by the New Economics Foundation in London, a dollar spent locally generates twice as much income for the local economy. When businesses are not owned locally, money leaves the community at every transaction.

Locally grown produce is fresher. While produce that is purchased in the supermarket or a big-box store has been in transit or cold-stored for days or weeks, produce that you purchase at your local farmer’s market has often been picked within 24 hours of your purchase. This freshness not only affects the taste of your food, but the nutritional value which declines with time.

Local food just plain tastes better. Ever tried a tomato that was picked within 24 hours? ’Nuff said.

Locally grown fruits and vegetables have longer to ripen. Because the produce will be handled less, locally grown fruit does not have to be “rugged” or to stand up to the rigors of shipping. This means that you are going to be getting peaches so ripe that they fall apart as you eat them, figs that would have been smashed to bits if they were sold using traditional methods, and melons that were allowed to ripen until the last possible minute on the vine.

Eating local is better for air quality and pollution than eating organic. In a March 2005 study by the journal Food Policy, it was found that the miles that organic food often travels to our plate creates environmental damage that outweighs the benefit of buying organic.

Buying local food keeps us in touch with the seasons. By eating with the seasons, we are eating foods when they are at their peak taste, are the most abundant, and the least expensive.

Buying locally grown food is fodder for a wonderful story. Whether it’s the farmer who brings local apples to market or the baker who makes local bread, knowing part of the story about your food is such a powerful part of enjoying a meal.

Eating local protects us from bio-terrorism. Food with less distance to travel from farm to plate has less susceptibility to harmful contamination.

Local food translates to more variety. When a farmer is producing food that will not travel a long distance, will have a shorter shelf life, and does not have a high-yield demand, the farmer is free to try small crops of various fruits and vegetables that would probably never make it to a large supermarket. Supermarkets are interested in selling “Name brand” fruit: Romaine Lettuce, Red Delicious Apples, Russet Potatoes. Local producers often play with their crops from year to year, trying out Little Gem Lettuce, Senshu Apples, and Chieftain Potatoes.

Supporting local providers supports responsible land development. When you buy local, you give those with local open space—farms and pastures—an economic reason to stay open and undeveloped.

The following passage is excerpted from a book written by the creators of the 100-Mile Diet, an experiment in eating only foods grown and produced within a 100-mile radius.

Food begins to lose nutrition as soon as it is harvested. Fruit and vegetables that travel shorter distances are therefore likely to be closer to a maximum of nutrition. “Nowadays, we know a lot more about the naturally occurring substances in produce,” said [Cynthia] Sass. “It’s not just vitamins and minerals, but all these phytochemicals and really powerful disease-fighting substances, and we do know that when a food never really reaches its peak ripeness, the levels of these substances never get as high.” . . .

Yet when I called to confirm these facts with Marion Nestle, a professor and former chair of nutrition, food studies, and public health at New York University, she waved away the nutrition issue as a red herring. Yes, she said, our 100-mile diet—even in winter—was almost certainly more nutritious than what the average American was eating. That doesn’t mean it is necessary to eat locally in order to be healthy. In fact, a person making smart choices from the global megamart can easily meet all the body’s needs.

“There will be nutritional differences, but they’ll be marginal,” said Nestle. “I mean, that’s not really the issue. It feels like it’s the issue—obviously fresher foods that are grown on better soils are going to have more nutrients. But people are not nutrient-deprived. We’re just not nutrient-deprived.”

So would Marion Nestle, as a dietician, as one of America’s most important critics of dietary policy, advocate for local eating?

“Absolutely.”

Why? Because she loves the taste of fresh food, she said. She loves the mystery of years when the late corn is just utterly, incredibly good, and no one can say why: it just is. She likes having farmers around, and farms, and farmland.
The following is excerpted from an online opinion article in a business magazine.

Buy local, shrink the distance food travels, save the planet. The locavore movement has captured a lot of fans. To their credit, they are highlighting the problems with industrialized food. But a lot of them are making a big mistake. By focusing on transportation, they overlook other energy-hogging factors in food production.

Take lamb. A 2006 academic study (funded by the New Zealand government) discovered that it made more environmental sense for a Londoner to buy lamb shipped from New Zealand than to buy lamb raised in the U.K. This finding is counterintuitive—if you’re only counting food miles. But New Zealand lamb is raised on pastures with a small carbon footprint, whereas most English lamb is produced under intensive factory-like conditions with a big carbon footprint. This disparity overwhelms domestic lamb’s advantage in transportation energy.

New Zealand lamb is not exceptional. Take a close look at water usage, fertilizer types, processing methods and packaging techniques and you discover that factors other than shipping far outweigh the energy it takes to transport food. One analysis, by Rich Pirog of the Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture, showed that transportation accounts for only 11% of food’s carbon footprint. A fourth of the energy required to produce food is expended in the consumer’s kitchen. Still more energy is consumed per meal in a restaurant, since restaurants throw away most of their leftovers.

Locavores argue that buying local food supports an area’s farmers and, in turn, strengthens the community. Fair enough. Left unacknowledged, however, is the fact that it also hurts farmers in other parts of the world. The U.K. buys most of its green beans from Kenya. While it’s true that the beans almost always arrive in airplanes—the form of transportation that consumes the most energy—it’s also true that a campaign to shame English consumers with small airplane stickers affixed to flown-in produce threatens the livelihood of 1.5 million sub-Saharan farmers.

Another chink in the locavores’ armor involves the way food miles are calculated. To choose a locally grown apple over an apple trucked in from across the country might seem easy. But this decision ignores economies of scale. To take an extreme example, a shipper sending a truck with 2,000 apples over 2,000 miles would consume the same amount of fuel per apple as a local farmer who takes a pickup 50 miles to sell 50 apples at his stall at the green market. The critical measure here is not food miles but apples per gallon.

The one big problem with thinking beyond food miles is that it’s hard to get the information you need. Ethically concerned consumers know very little about processing practices, water availability, packaging waste and fertilizer application. This is an opportunity for watchdog groups. They should make life-cycle carbon counts available to shoppers.
The following chart is excerpted from an online article in an environmental magazine.

### TOTAL GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS BY SUPPLY CHAIN TIER ASSOCIATED WITH HOUSEHOLD FOOD CONSUMPTION IN THE UNITED STATES

- Red meat
- Dairy products
- Cereals/carbs
- Fruit/vegetables
- Chicken/fish/eggs
- Other miscellaneous
- Beverages
- Oils/sweets/condiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Transport</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Wholesale/retail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Red meat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereals/carbs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruit/vegetables</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken/fish/eggs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other miscellaneous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverages</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oils/sweets/condiments</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Climate impact (metric tons CO$_2$e/household-year)
The following is excerpted from an online article in a business magazine.

The rise of farmers’ markets—in city centers, college towns, and rural squares—is testament to a dramatic shift in American tastes. Consumers increasingly are seeking out the flavors of fresh, vine-ripened foods grown on local farms rather than those trucked to supermarkets from faraway lands. “This is not a fringe foodie culture,” says [Anthony] Flaccavento. “These are ordinary, middle-income folks who have become really engaged in food and really care about where their food comes from.”

It’s a movement that is gradually reshaping the business of growing and supplying food to Americans. The local food movement has already accomplished something that almost no one would have thought possible a few years back: a revival of small farms. After declining for more than a century, the number of small farms has increased 20% in the past six years, to 1.2 million, according to the Agriculture Dept. . . .

The impact of “locavores” (as local-food proponents are known) even shows up in that Washington salute every five years to factory farming, the Farm Bill. The latest version passed both houses in Congress in early May and was sent on May 20 to President George W. Bush’s desk for signing. Bush has threatened to veto the bill, but it passed with enough votes to sustain an override. Predictably, the overwhelming bulk of its $290 billion would still go to powerful agribusiness interests in the form of subsidies for growing corn, soybeans, and cotton. But $2.3 billion was set aside this year for specialty crops, such as the eggplants, strawberries, or salad greens that are grown by exactly these small, mostly organic farmers. That’s a big bump-up from the $100 million that was earmarked for such things in the previous legislation.

Small farmers will be able to get up to 75% of their organic certification costs reimbursed, and some of them can obtain crop insurance. There’s money for research into organic foods, and to promote farmers’ markets. Senator Tom Harkin (D-Iowa) said the bill “invests in the health and nutrition of American children . . . by expanding their access to farmer’s markets and organic produce.”

Reprinted from the May 20, 2008 issue of Bloomberg BusinessWeek by special permission, copyright © 2008 by Bloomberg L.P.
The following is excerpted from a book about the food industry.

[T]he move toward local food, for all its trendiness (the more adamant adherents, known as “localvores,” strive to buy products that have traveled the least “food miles”), highlights one of the problematic pieces of the modern food economy: the increasing reliance on foods shipped halfway round the world. Because long-distance food shipments promote profligate fuel use and the exploitation of cheap labor (which compensates for the profligate fuel use), shifting back to a more locally sourced food economy is often touted as a fairly straightforward way to cut externalities, restore some measure of equity between producers and consumers, and put the food economy on a more sustainable footing. “Such a shift would bring back diversity to land that has been all but destroyed by chemical-intensive mono-cropping, provide much-needed jobs at a local level, and help to rebuild community,” argues the UK-based International Society for Ecology and Culture, one of the leading lights in the localvore movement. “Moreover, it would allow farmers to make a decent living while giving consumers access to healthy, fresh food at affordable prices.”

While localvorism sounds superb in theory, it is proving quite difficult in practice. To begin with, there are dozens of different definitions as to what local is, with some advocates arguing for political boundaries (as in Texas-grown, for example), others using quasi-geographic terms like food sheds, and still others laying out somewhat arbitrarily drawn food circles with radii of 100 or 150 or 500 miles. Further, whereas some areas might find it fairly easy to eat locally (in Washington State, for example, I’m less than fifty miles from industrial quantities of fresh produce, corn, wheat, beef, and milk), people in other parts of the country and the world would have to look farther afield. And what counts as local? Does food need to be purchased directly from the producer? Does it still count when it’s distributed through a mass marketer, as with Wal-Mart’s Salute to America’s Farmer program, which is now periodically showcasing local growers?

The larger problem is that although decentralized food systems function well in decentralized societies—like the United States was a century ago, or like many developing nations still are—they’re a poor fit in modern urbanized societies. The same economic forces that helped food production become centralized and regionalized did the same thing to our population: in the United States, 80 percent of us live in large, densely populated urban areas, usually on the coast, and typically hundreds of miles, often thousands of miles, from the major centers of food production.
Source G


The following is a cartoon from an environmentally themed comic strip.
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The locavore movement asks its followers to look to their roots by depending on locally grown foods for nutrition. In a different sense, the movement mirrors the primitive societies of hunters and gatherers, nomadic people who lived off what the land could offer them at a certain time in the year. It is interesting this movement has taken root, therefore, in a society like the USA, an extremely industrialized and urbanized country where almost any given citizen has the consumer power to buy food from far away places. The locavore movement is one chiefly targeted towards communities that have the ability to sustain themselves.

In an industrialized and consumer-driven society like America, it does not make sense for communities to adopt locavorism because of the isolationist nature that can lead to divides among Americans as well as with the world. A community to adopt locavorism, a given family or broader organization must first determine how realistic it is for it to maintain the diet. Document F comments on how the difficulty encountered in defining "local." In fact, it And when that term has been determined, the locavores, they must see what is available to them. Document F hits on this point as well, commenting that "some areas might find it easy to eat locally... people in other parts of the country and the world would have to look further afield." This is the case in source G's cartoon, where the environment limits the penguin's locavore conversion, and is analogous to many of the extreme settled
areas of the world that depend on nutrition imports to survive. In these areas, arguments for the taste of food, such as it apparent in Document B are negligible, the food and energetic value eclipse any luxuries like the unexplainably delicious taste of late corn. In the United States, there are also wide climate spectrums, some that foster all sorts of produce and others that don't support any at all. Locavorism is a moral privilege available only to the farmer.

In addition to being a targeted and specialized movement, locavorism is, to an extent, isolationist. By building up community relations, locavores effectively break down contacts with foreign or just more distant people and economies (Source C). Especially in America, where humanitarian efforts garner widespread support, taking away potential earnings from oppressed people in other corners of the world seems counterintuitive—especially if these are the same people we were originally being fundraised for.

Source B argues for the economic benefits of putting the money back into the community by buying locally, the business sustainability of Source D; however, within the borders of the country, business is depleted as well, with farms needing loans and government reimbursements, despite a revival in small farms (Source E). The diversity of food that is argued for in Source A is undermined by the principal of locavorism, which prevents access to foods only grown on the other side of the country. The farm growing wheat in Nebraska, on
major staple of that state's exports, will probably never
make it to Florida, if locavoresism were established in some
community. By extension, the state's economies, that
are not too developed to begin with drop even more, and
the resulting hostilities erupt in politics. The development
of farming still faces some opposition in the government
after all (Source E). The locavore movement is often a measure
that undermines economies on broadscale levels, causing
disunity among Americans and relations abroad.

Often seen as part of the "green" movement for environmental
conservation, locavoresism really has little impact on the continued
sustainability of the environment. Air transport costs and other
shipment methods are, of course, energetically and financially
taxing, but Source D shows that the production of food is
really the largest expenditure. It doesn't matter where
it is produced, the environmental effect will be almost the
same. Most Americans live in densely populated metros
(Source E) so their everyday activities like driving to work have
a larger impact than buying imported supermarket food.

Locavoresism is not a bad practice in theory or action.
There are simply factors that make it unfavorable with respect
to aspects of American life. These include the living patterns
of most Americans, and their relations with each other and
abroad. The locavore movement is a privilege for only
certain communities, ones that can sustain themselves.
Question 4
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with diverse produce, and is not a good option for the broader spectrum of the world's society.
Think global, act local. With this motto, the locavore movement sounds as appealing as a steaming fresh apple pie — baked with locally grown apples, of course — on a chilly winter’s day. Who doesn’t like to eat delicious, nutritious food and help the environment? However, when one looks past the delightful motto, the locavore movement actually is actually just as — if not more — harmful as eating cucumbers shipped from Madagascar. When considering the environmental and social impact of the locavore movement, it is clear that the harms usually outweigh the benefits.

At a time when global warming is slowly changing from a myth to reality, and pollution in the cities has spawned rapid development of lung diseases, people scrambling to find a way to save the earth find an answer in the locavore movement. "Locavores" extol the environmental benefits since goods are shipped from a local farm instead of "halving across the world," which lessens fuel use (Source F). However, eating locally does not always reduce carbon footprint any benefits would be minimal, as transportation is not a major factor in carbon emissions (Source). As Source C shows, it actually takes more fuel and produces just as much fuel — and thus, just as much pollution — to ship a small load from a farm in rural Pennsylvania to a farmer living in a city than it would to ship a large load from a distant location. In addition, the carbon footprint for one of these distanced farms is often smaller than they would be for a farm in a closer area. The United States is certainly no longer made up of rural communities. To buy local means to ignore farm conditions, ignore packaging techniques and simply accept the distance food is shipped (Source C). Instead of justifying choosing groceries based on the distance. As Source C concurs, it is evident that buying "local" in an urban area is misguided in buying
from a factory-like farm is simply not what one would expect. Instead of choosing to buy groceries because they are grown in a wholesale or local store, people would buy groceries because they are grown organically and environmentally friendly.

In addition, the local food movements have communities around the world that depend on international trade for survival. Yes, local food could potentially save the local farmers in Argentina. Yes, the economy is still stagnated despite China's economic plans and buying local would help.

Struggling local farmers, however, while we may still dream of a Jeffersonian ideal where everyone would buy with a local community, we see in South America a small-scale farming industry that has left the United States; perhaps, it is time to let it fade away. Instead, by buying from places that are process-orientated, we can help the people who truly need help the most. By isolating Americans from international agricultural industries, locavores could be increasing the livelihood of people who depend on international trade for a living (source C). By refusing to buy from them, we are eliminating their only source of financial help—sub-Saharan Africa is not nearly urban enough to provide food and help for the people. It is important to consider how the global while it is great to enjoy a locally grown meal, eating locally would harm to global food security. The property of one small community should be sacrificed to be community in ways that one did not intend. The world of global communities.

Ultimately, the locavore movement while appealing does not reflect any sort of meaningful change, but instead hurts farmers around the world. Perhaps if farmers were to appeal to the modern locavores who refuse to give up their goals should follow their motto literally by thinking globally and eating locally.
and growing food in personal gardens. That would truly be a local movement.
There's nothing quite like the taste of a peach "so ripe that it falls apart as you eat it" (source A). Nothing quite like that delicious, melt-in-your-mouth flavor of fresh fruit. Anyone who has ever picked a tomato fresh off the vine cannot deny this truth. So is it any wonder why the locavore movement—a movement of people who are trying to eat as much locally grown food as possible—has gained such popularity over the past decade? Who wouldn't want to benefit from the increased nutritional value of local food? Who wouldn't want to help reduce environmental damage? While the locavore movement appears excellent in theory, there are several "chinks" in its "armor" that make it impractical in reality (source C).

One of the chief arguments for the locavore movement is that locally grown produce has a higher nutritional value (source A). Locavores would contend that eating fresh, local food is far more beneficial than buying food from a supermarket because "food begins to lose nutrition as soon as it is harvested" (source B). However, this assertion has its share of flaws. As emphasized by Marion Nestle, a professor of nutrition and public health at New York
University, it is not "necessary to eat locally in order to be healthy." (Source 8). A person who makes smart choices at the supermarket can easily "meet all the body's needs" and benefit from the same levels of nutrition as someone who eats locally (Source B).

Another significant argument in favor of the locavore movement is that "eating local is better for air quality and pollution than eating organic." (Source A). Locavores are campaigning to "save the planet" by reducing food transportation (Source C). Unfortunately, this focus on transportation has caused them to overlook the other major "energy-hogging" factor: production (Source C).

According to one analysis by the Leopold Center for Sustainable Agriculture, transportation makes up a mere 11% of food's carbon footprint (Source C). Food production, on the other hand, accounts for far more of the greenhouse gas emissions caused by food (Source D). In fact, a chart printed from an environmental magazine reveals that production is by far the leading cause of greenhouse gas emissions from food. Take red meat. The transport of red meat accounts for less than 0.25 metric tons
CO2e/household-year whereas the production of red meat makes up almost 2.5 CO2e/household-year (source D). This discrepancy makes the locavores argument much less viable.

Above all, the call for locally produced food is unrealistic in many modern societies (source A). The movement fits well in decentralized societies, but in urban cities such as New York City, Chicago, and Boston, eating locally is near impossible, and therefore highly impractical (source E). So cherish those fresh peaches whenever you can.

But when you can't, don't sweat it. Food from the supermarket won't kill you—or the environment!
1.) While the idea of striving to only eat locally grown food in order to help the environment may at first seem appealing, the reality is that locavores do not strongly influence the harmful environmental effects of food production and there are other more effective ways of contributing to the reduction of these negative effects for those who are committed to this cause.

It is not realistic to believe that by becoming a locavore, you are a part of a dramatic influence in saving our planet. Supporters of the locavore movement believe that by eating locally, you gain nutrients compared to and omit less greenhouse gases (compared to eating imported foods). While these claims may be true, they are minimal and no matter how many people join in locavore practices, our planet will still be in danger.

Source B, an excerpt from a book about an experiment in eating locally, confirms that "There will be nutritional differences, but they'll be marginal... "people are not nutrient-deprived." Therefore, gaining more nutrients is not a valid reason for becoming a locavore. Furthermore, source C points out a very important fact that supporters of the locavore movement tend
to overlook. McWilliams here explains that “a shipper sending a truck with 2,000 apples over 2,000 miles would consume the same amount of fuel per apple as a local farmer who takes a pickup 50 miles to sell 50 apples...”

Large, but not local, farms and factories are most definitely able to produce more products than the average local farmer. Therefore, the larger producers transport more products than local farmers and transportation is likely to be approximately the same no matter where you get your food from.

As shown by graph D, a graph showing the total greenhouse gas emissions for different types of foods in terms of transportation, production, and wholesale/retail, production is where we create the most emissions. Compared to production, the emissions made from transporting food is close to insignificant. I recognize that reducing our emissions of greenhouse gases in any way is a positive step in our fight against our world’s environmental crisis; however, I find other solutions to be more effective. For example, the emissions from producing red meat alone is more than the transportation emissions from dairy products, cereals, fruits/vegetables, chicken, fish, eggs, beverages, oils, sweets and condiments combined. By eating at a lower trophic level, (in other words, becoming a vegetarian)
Our world will be healthier and more sustainable than it would ever be by decreasing our transportation emissions from all types of food.

In addition to not being effective, locavores may actually be harming other local farmers to their own homes. By refusing to purchase foods imported from other countries, such as to the U.K. from Kenya, locavores "threaten the livelihood of 1.5 million sub-Saharan farmers," (M. Williams).

The costs outweigh the benefits in the case of the locavore movement and the fight to reduce long-distance imports. The minimal impact of reducing greenhouse gas emissions is not worth putting people out of jobs and disrupting the world trade economy. However, vegetarianism is a plausible and effective way to do what these locavores are attempting.
Today, we are dependent on the many "freshly" packed foods in our local stores, but it is really not "fresh." Locavores, are people who have decided to eat locally grown or produced products as much as possible. The movement has become widely spread over the past decades. Now our community should also be inspired to join the movement for a better and healthier system.

"Eating locally means more for the local economy." [Source A]. Maiser, stated that study by the New Economics foundation in London, buying food locally gives twice more income for the economy. How great would that be? For our economy to become or earn a much desire financial status, all we have to do is eat healthy. By becoming locavores, not only does it benefit us, but also the economy. Source E, agrees that we are helping the economy. "A movement that is gradually reshaping business of growing and supplying foods to Americans." Over time, many systems of being healthy and helping has come and go but the widespread movement has been around for the past decade and has continued/continuing to shape our lifestyle as well as our economies.

In contrast, Source C argues that "left unacknowledge... the fact that it also hurts farmers in other parts of the world." The argument the lack of resources within within its own government hurts itself, turning into a locavore is not sufficient to hurt other farmers or any other countries. In source f, we find that there are dozens of different definitions of local, so there are also different definitions as to what a locavore is because it connects it to being local. Many can try this locavore movement, it's really our own definition and in return we don't hurt other countries, benefiting we help and as
Locavore movement has become a widespread movement that has also been around for decades. It is indeed a well-tested movement and also reliable. It helps build a healthier system for everyone to enjoy.
Over the past few years there has been an uprising movement for the locavores. Locavores are people who choose to eat food that is locally grown or produced products as much as possible. Please to announce as this movement is growing, unfortunately our community is considering organizing a locavore movement. This movement is not necessary and will cost money.

When being a locavore, yes you do get food that is normally more fresh and at their peak taste but it isn’t is not necessary in order to eat healthy. Shrinking the distance that food travels may help the environment in some ways but it has it’s down-falls in others. Local farmers have increased 20% in the past six years, according to the Agriculture Dept. (Source E) But this affects farmers from other parts of the world.

Marion Nestle, a professor of public health at New York University states that it is not necessary to eat locally in order to be healthy. "In fact, a person making smart choices from the global megalith can easily meet all the body’s needs." M. Nestle
Question 1

(Source B) People are not nutrient-deprived so it really is not necessary to eat foods that are grown on better soils and that are local.

Buying local food may support an area’s farmers but hurts farmers in other parts of the world. (Source C) Many farmers ship their food to other parts of the world where that product is better and easier to grow if everyone becomes locavores we won’t be able to have all foods there for available because it may not be able to be grown in our community.

It is obvious that foods picked locally taste better and have a slight less of an effect on the environment, but it really is not necessary to buy local. You still get all the nutrients and vitamins from foods that are not local, plus they still taste good. If our community were to start a locavore movement it would affect everyone because we would have to make more space for crops and farmers. Also farmers from around the world would also have less customers.
Locavores are people who have decided to eat locally grown or produced products as much as possible. To make the previous sentence more understandable, locavores are people who eat or want to eat local food.

According to James E. McWilliams in Source C, the locavore movement has made a lot of fans. A lot of people really support the idea of this movement. "Buy local, shrink the distance food travels, save the planet," said McWilliams. Agreeing with McWilliams is Pallavi Gogoi from Source E. Gogoi said that consumers are increasingly seeking out the flavors of fresh, vine-ripened foods grown on local farms rather than trucked to supermarkets from far-away places.

Gogoi also mentioned the revival of small farms. He states that the number of small farms has increased 20% after declining for more than a century. In some people's opinion, the movement was started because of freshness of food and travel distance of food.

In Source F, Paul Roberts states "Because long-distance food shipments promote profligate fuel use and exploitation of cheap labor... more locally sourced food economy is often treated as a fairly straightforward way to cut externalities..." A lot of people agree that the travel distance of food should be limited to at least a 100-mile travel distance.
In an example used from Source C, McWilliams says that a shipper sends a truck with 2,000 apples over 2,000 miles would consume the same amount of fuel per apple as a local farmer who ships 50 miles to sell 50 apples is the critical measure of not food miles but apples per gallon. The decision to choose a locally grown apple over an apple trucked ignores economies of scale.

McWilliams (Source C) also states thatlocavores argue that buying local food supports an area’s farmers, strengthens the community. Gogoi agrees with this statement, “These are ordinary middle-income folks who have become really engaged in food and really care about where their food comes from.”

The big reason in the movement is some’s opinion is, the freshness of food, the travel distance of food and one way of strengthening communities. A lot agree with this decision. In other people’s opinion, more and more communities need to start growing and producing locally grown food, and become locavores.
Out of rising concerns economically and nutritionally, locavorism seems to be a great idea, but the question remains whether it is truly better to restrain oneself from simply going out and buying produce from the nearest grocery store or supermarket, which with the help of a continuous increase in development, are usually not far at all. Becoming a locavore does have its drawbacks, which biased critics will gladly point out, but the benefits outweigh any possible risks or problems with being a locavore.

The top reasons which many have to become a locavore are that the food tastes better and that it aids the local economy. According to the New Economics Foundation, a dollar which is spent on local goods generates twice the income for the local economy (Hassler). This is, of course, a positive point because the more local revenue which is made, the better the area's economy will be, and the better that the community.

The more money which can be set aside for schools, libraries, and community clubs. Whereas with commercially grown food we must worry about the possibility of harmful additives such as preservatives, with locally grown food we are able to get a range of crops, but the amount of chemicals which have been

A simple way to follow this diet is to do the 100-mile method or close to it. All that has to be done is to buy food from local growers that are within a 100 mile radius. Clearly, this would be beneficial because since the food does not have

#
Becoming a locavore takes hard dedication. In order to become one, you really have to want it and go for it. First, you have to know what a locavore is. A locavore is someone who eats locally grown or produced products.

To begin your journey of becoming a locavore, you should focus on what you want to eat and stick with it. Also, you have to strive and go out of the ordinary to get where you want to be and not
Sample Identifier: Y
Score: 9
- This essay is very thorough in its use of sources, carefully explaining how the sources fit within the argument (e.g., "arguments for the taste of food, such as is apparent in Source B are negligible").
- The essay's argument is especially sophisticated (e.g., the student observes that the "diversity of food that is argued for in Source A is undermined by the principal [sic] of locavorism").
- The essay's organization is subtle and sophisticated (e.g., the second paragraph subtly undermines the argument in favor of locavorism before moving into the main point about the movement having an isolationist nature).
- The essay takes a broad view, seeing the locavore movement globally.
- The student's use of language is impressive (e.g., "In addition to being a targeted and specialized movement, locavorism is, to an extent, isolationist").

Sample Identifier: L
Score: 8
- The essay effectively develops a position.
- The essay convincingly explains the implications of locavorism (e.g., "it is evident that buying 'local' in an urban area from a factory-like farm is simply not what one would expect").
- There is an effective synthesis of appropriate sources.
- The student has strong control over the writing (e.g., the discussion of the "dream of a Jeffersonian ideal").

Sample Identifier: C
Score: 7
- This essay fits the description of a 6 paper but has a more mature prose style.
- The essay thoroughly incorporates the supporting sources in complete ways.
- The student adequately develops a position that clearly recognizes the negative implications of arguments in favor of the locavore movement.
- The essay provides sufficient support for the student's position that "while the locavore movement appears excellent in theory," there are "chinks" in the theory.

Sample Identifier: I
Score: 6
- This essay is adequate, developing a position that identifies key issues associated with the locavore movement.
- The essay has an adequate synthesis of at least three sources.
- The argument has a logical discussion (e.g., re: nutrients) for using other means to reduce the carbon footprint of food production.
- The language is generally clear.
Sample Identifier: Z
Score: 5

- This essay develops a position that identifies key issues associated with the locavore movement.
- The essay does cite at least three sources; however, the links among the sources are limited and uneven.
- The essay is more complete than a 4 paper because of its focused presentation.
- The essay has several lapses in language (e.g., “Over time, many systems of being healthy . . . as well as our economies”), but it does convey ideas adequately.

Sample Identifier: W
Score: 4

- This essay inadequately develops a position that identifies the key issues associated with the locavore movement.
- The essay does not misinterpret the sources, but it does oversimplify them (e.g., “Local farmers have increased 20% in the past six years . . . other parts of the world”).
- The essay’s prose is generally clear, but it is less consistent than in an upper level paper (e.g., “If everyone becomes locavores we won’t be able to have all foods available because it may not be able to be grown in our community”).

Sample Identifier: H
Score: 3

- This essay is less successful in its use of sources than a 4 paper.
- The essay replaces commentary with long quotations from the sources; there is little explanation of the sources.
- The argument does not have a clear focus or point of view.
- The essay’s eloquence (e.g., “consumers are increasingly seeking out the flavors of fresh, vine-ripened foods grown on local farms) comes from the cited sources.
- The essay is more complete than a 2.

Sample Identifier: R
Score: 2

- This essay has little success.
- The argument creates a false binary in which anyone who disagrees is a “biased critic.”
- The essay cites only one source.
- The essay illustrates a lack of control of language and argument.
- The essay is repetitive.
Sample Identifier: Q
Score: 1

- The essay is especially simplistic.
- The argument identifying the key issues associated with the locavore movement is undeveloped.
- The essay does not cite any sources.
Strolling through my beloved college town on a Saturday morning, one would encounter a quaint yet thriving farmer's market on the historic square. Here, farmers, artisans, and merchants gather three times weekly to sell their goods. Here, local musicians congregate on the corners to serenade passing citizens, often with an empty hat or instrument case beckoning for tips. Here, a community gathers, interacts, socializes, retreats to simpler, more amiable ways. Here is the locavore movement, intrinsically linked not only to a close-knit college town, but also to issues of nutrition, sustainability, and economics.

The nutritional value of food grown locally is far superior to that of alien products shipped over oceans, countries, and borders. The majority of food at the local market was harvested less than 24 hours ago (Source A), which has several implications. First, the food has had less time off the vine to lose its nutrition (Source B). Even though we may not be malnourished, and although healthy choices can be made with both produce grown in Flippin, Arkansas and Central America, local food is better; when given the choice between five dollars and ten dollars, the obvious decision would be the ten because it is simply worth more, just as a local orange is
worth more to your health than a foreign orange. Second, fresh produce tastes better. One strawberry vendor at the local market recently told me a

story with a swift wink and a tip of his hat, "Come back tomorrow and tell me what you think," he said. The strawberries were unbelievable.

Certainly, the Walmart brand may be good, but the local brand is unbeatable.

When it comes to sustainability, the locavore movement is saving the world. Buying local preserves fossil fuels used to transport produce long distances. While one argument is that 2,000 apples sent 2,000 miles is the same amount of fuel per apple as 50 apples sent 50 miles, this assertion ignores basic logic. If those 2,000 apples stay right at home, that's 2,000 miles of gasoline saved, and a farmer 50 miles away would certainly bring more than 50 apples on such a long trip to the nearest market. While transportation emissions pale in comparison to that of production (Source D), buying local would also help improve this issue. The monster farm industries who belch black smoke are not the small-town farmers upon find at the market—they're the ones sending their products to mass markets in far-off places.

If more and more join the locavore revolution, however,
these production giants will be forced to downsize to a more regional level.

Finally, locoweed enrich their local economies. Small farmers benefit from consumers who choose them over Walmart's aisles of imports, and these small farmers are getting help from the government (Source E). While some argue that buying local threatens small farmers elsewhere in the world, such as in Kenya, they ignore that if everyone bought local food, everyone's local economies would improve. The Kenyan farmers are in close proximity to an area of the world where people are malnourished — their food beans could stay local or at least closer to local than England and save lives.
Loxovores. Consumers of locally grown or produced products. Many communities are debating if this is a good direction to go. Eating mainly fresh foods from local groves and other crops creates a more stimulated economy. The food may taste better and it is a healthy plan. Some people disagree, they believe it makes no difference in the taste, and it may create more problems. Becoming a locavore will produce a healthier and more efficient America.

A dollar spent locally generates twice as much income for the local economy (Miller). This is a significant amount of money that many people are frivously giving away to multi-million dollar corporations that could be spent helping our our communities. George, the author of "The Rise of the Locavore," states "it’s a movement that is gradually reshaping the business of growing."
In a recent bill legislation passed gave 2.3 billion to specialty crops where as in the past there has been only 100 million. This has allowed farmers to get 75% of their organic certification cost reimbursed. Tom Harkin a senator (D-Iowa) feels that this bill is not only helping our economy but we are investing in the health and nutrition of the American children. Furthermore Paul Roberts says that this provides much-needed jobs at the local levels that goes on to rebuild hurting communities. Locavores are shopping today's economy.

Local food helps the America financially but it also tastes better. "Ever tried a tomato that was picked within 24 hours?" asked exclaims Jennifer Mazer. She also stated that the quality is greater. The vegetables & fruits that are locally
grown have more time to ripen thus adding a more juicy, fresh taste. Consumers increasingly are seeking out these fresh local foods rather than the trucked fruits & vegetables (Gogoi).

The taste isn't the only thing that matters to Americans; health is another main component. In the Conservation Magazine, an article titled "the problems of What to Eat" illustrated a graph of total greenhouse gas emissions associated with each food. Red Meat caused 2.5 metric tons of CO₂ per household, per year. This is unhealthy ( Today, etc.). Mind over eating locally grown food protects us from bio-terrorism (Mall). Michelle Obama is promoting health around our Schoolszeroing in on our numerous children overweight.
Hill is just one more action we can take to better our health. By removing all the chemicals and eating local fruit and vegetables will make a huge positive impact on Americans' lives.

Only 20 percent of Americans live in rural, densely populated areas. Thus the majority live hundreds and thousands of miles away from food production. The locavore strip "Arctic circle" illustrates the main problem that urban areas have when considering locavores. (Hallatt). The question arises do we consider a mass marketer like Wal-Marts also a locavore? (Roberts)

Overall locavores provide more positives than negatives. Economic stimulations, faster and healthier foods are available through local farmers. In urban areas, it may be harder to find however after eating
Write in the box the number of the question you are answering on this page as it is designated in the exam.

a freshly picked tomato and a snipped tomato will never taste the same.
Opinions are floating around wherever you go. Pros and cons are found in many opinions. Locavores, for one, have an opinion that, as a group, they share. At times, when examining your opinions, it's hard to figure out what is better for you and your surrounding community.

In reality, locavores are just trying to better their health and their community's health. "Food with less distance to travel from farm to plate has less susceptibility to harmful contamination." (Source A) Buying food within the community means it was recently picked and it is much more fresh. "This freshness not only affects the taste of your food, but the nutritional value which declines with time." (Source A)

Food and gas prices are going up with every day that passes by. When it comes down to money, "a dollar spent locally generates twice as much income for the local economy. When businesses are not owned locally, money leaves the community at every transaction." (Source A) Think of all the ways to better the community there would be if the community had that much more money. All the money goes
Towards transporting goods in and of the community.

Locavores are not high class people just trying to get attention. They are "ordinary," middle-income folks who have become really engaged in food and really care where their food comes from." (SOURCE E) There is obviously something better about locally grown food because "small farms have increased 20% in the past six years." (SOURCE E)

Although locavores are trying to do a good thing and better the community, there are, like I said, cons. Not everything you need or want can be grown inside the community. Certain things need certain weather conditions. Other places are just not places food is grown. Some urban areas don't have a farm within 500 miles of the community. "In the United States, 80 percent of us live in large, densely populated urban areas, usually on the coast, and typically hundreds of miles, often thousands of miles, from the major centers of food production." (SOURCE E)
Locavores do not realize that not everybody has the same opinion as them because they don't have any local grown goods. One doesn't have to necessarily eat good because they eat local. Locavores do have a strong point but other people also have valid reasons to argue them. Next time you buy groceries think about if you would be able to be a locavore or not.
Question 1

Overview

The synthesis question examined students’ ability to develop their own position on a given topic, referring to and incorporating sources as they did so. The synthesis question, moreover, called for students to demonstrate the ability to summarize, paraphrase, and quote properly from sources and to cite them accurately. It asked students to consider seven sources — five texts, one graph, and one cartoon — about the emerging “locavore” movement, in which people with an eye to nutrition as well as sustainability have decided to eat locally grown or produced food as much as possible. The prompt directed students to write an essay, synthesizing at least three of the sources for support, in which they identified the key issues associated with the locavore movement and examined their implications for a community that is considering becoming part of the movement.

Sample: 1A
Score: 8

Framing the argument with a hypothetical experience, the student begins this effective essay with an example of the position advocated: locavorism benefits communities. The student then continues, both articulating this position and providing convincing examples. For instance, the essay counters Source D’s analysis of “fuel per apple” by observing the source’s lack of “basic logic”: “If those 2,000 apples stay right at home, that’s 2000 miles of gasoline saved, and a local farmer 50 miles away would certainly bring more than 50 apples on such a long trip to the nearest market.” The organization throughout the essay is strong, with each paragraph developing issues associated with the locavore movement. Finally, the last paragraph ties the essay’s many points together, nodding to the opposition and offering an observation saved until the end: locavorism will not hurt Kenyans but will perhaps address the country’s problem with malnourishment. The prose demonstrates a consistent control of language but is not necessarily flawless (e.g., “The monster farm industries who belch black smoke are not the small-town farmers you find at the market”).

Sample: 1B
Score: 5

Although the length of this essay might appear to suggest that it is comprehensive, the synthesis of the evidence used is limited. Instead of employing the sources to illustrate and support the reasoning, the student strings together quotations or paraphrases of the sources, adding little original explanation or argument. For example, the long paragraph that starts on page 3 merely describes the graph from Source D, briefly references Source A, and adds a comment on Michelle Obama’s campaign on health; there is no further development of any of the cited sources. On the other hand, the student does identify and cite the information and implications on both sides of the issue. Overall, however, the essay is uneven and limited because of its incomplete synthesis of the sources, and thus it did not merit a score higher than a 5.

Sample: 1C
Score: 3

Although the essay does identify some key issues associated with the locavore movement, the position that the student attempts to develop is weak (e.g., that there are good reasons to be both for and against locavorism). The elaboration of this equivocal position leans heavily on long quotes; the sources therefore dominate the student’s attempt at development. Additionally, the essay gives little indication...
that the student is able to deploy the ideas gleaned from these sources strategically or independently. In paragraph 5 the essay’s level of argument improves as the student discusses how the weather in various locations might inhibit attempts at creating a locavore movement; however, the student falls back on a long quote at the end of the paragraph instead of continuing the idea’s development. With this excessive reliance on quotations from the sources, the explanations of the evidence are especially limited, which lowered this insufficient and unconvincing essay to a score of 3.
This activity is designed to illustrate to students how the sources provided in any given synthesis prompt may be perceived as “useful” from a variety of perspectives. Students take positions as members of society who must examine the information and weigh what they know and understand about that information, selecting what’s important and understanding why it is important, in order to enter the conversation with an informed and judicious opinion.

The scenario:
A community-based committee, composed of a variety of stakeholders, has been assembled to debate and forward recommendations with regard to the following question: Should our local government support and develop initiatives that promote the production, distribution, and consumption of “local food”? 

Group students into 8 groups and provide each group with a folder containing only the sources from the 2011 “Locavore” synthesis prompt. Each folder also contains ONE of the following stakeholder profiles:

You are a worker in a food bank. You are largely responsible for soliciting and collecting donations from individuals, grocery stores, and restaurants, as well as from corporate donors, and making sure that those donations are inventoried, stored, and then distributed to those in need of the food bank’s services. Since food must be easy to store, it must be non-perishable, and the average food bank box often consists of mostly “filler” foods such as pastas and rice, although you make an effort to include equally inexpensive yet high-nutrition foods such as dried beans. Recently you have noticed that as food prices have risen, the number and volume of donations has decreased. At the same time, the number of people relying on food banks has increased.

You are the city councilor for Ward 17, which has a diverse population of largely middle- and lower-middle-class citizens. A relatively small number of residents are working-class or on social assistance, with and without families, living in some of the small apartment buildings dotting the ward. Many of the citizens are older “empty nesters” who are slowly selling their family homes and being replaced by young families. These new residents are relatively educated, involved in their community, and while perhaps not affluent, are willing to spend a bit more for goods and services they consider worthwhile. Recently, a Farmer’s Market has opened in the ward, and it has attracted a lot of attention and patronage from those living nearby. Approximately 30 different farmers and growers from the local area sell their produce, meat products, and other locally-produced goods once a week throughout the year, and the market is becoming a community hub. The neighbourhood around it also includes three upscale chain supermarkets, two discount chain supermarkets, one independent supermarket, and numerous small “mom-and-pop” grocery stores.

You are a thirty-something new parent who has just bought a house from a couple who had retired and, like many others in this neighbourhood, is now downsizing. You have a comfortable but modest combined household income; you work for a financial institution and your husband works for an insurance company.

Jodi Rice
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“Locavore” Synthesis Role-Play Activity

During your maternity leave you became very involved in a local mothers’ circle, and many of the mothers enjoy sharing recipes and other parenting tips. Recently, one of the mothers brought in a cookbook that promoted making simple, healthy, seasonal meals from locally-sourced products, arguing that this was a healthier choice for both adults and children. Although you can easily buy organic food at the local supermarkets, you are intrigued by the concept of buying local, despite a few reservations about availability and cost.

You are a farmer cultivating a small, family-owned farm just north of the city. Recently you have begun a couple of new enterprises, encouraged by the increased interest in fresh, local, organic food. First, you have started selling at a couple of Farmer’s Markets in the city. Second, you have instituted a Community Supported Agriculture (CSA) program, whereby clients pay a flat rate for a box of fresh seasonal produce to be delivered to them via the markets once a month throughout the year. Because of the quality of your produce and the popularity of your initiatives, you are currently running at capacity, with 200 CSA clients and an average of about $500 in sales per Farmer’s Market (two markets a week). You’ve had requests from a couple of other markets to attend; this would mean hiring additional staff to take the produce to market and sell it. You also have a waiting list of about 50 potential clients for the CSA, but you just don’t have the produce to sell to them at this time, nor do you have the available land or manpower to expand production on the farm. However, you have a couple of friends who are also farmers on a similar scale, who are interested in joining your ventures.

You are the manager of a large chain grocery store in a well-trafficked area adjacent to both a very affluent neighbourhood and an up-and-coming neighbourhood that is enjoying an influx of new residents to both single-family homes and new condo buildings. As a very established brand, your store offers a wide variety of food products from around the world – you can get pretty much anything you’re looking for, at any time of year. Prices are fairly competitive, although many of your customers are willing to spend a little extra for organic or artisanal foods. You’re aware of some competition from other supermarkets in the area, including two major chain competitors and a couple of discount supermarkets, but your market share seems to be holding. You are also aware of a recently-opened Farmer’s Market, which seems to be drawing a lot of interest from the residents, and you have considered including more local offerings at your store in order to capitalize on what seems to be a growing desire for such things. Your supply contracts and corporate safety regulations, however, do not allow you to work with independent farmers; you would have to find large, corporately-owned local farms to bring in such goods. Strangely, though, produce from places like Florida and Mexico tends to be cheaper because of the scale of production and the cheap labour in those places.

You are a registered dietician working in a neighbourhood that ranges from middle- to upper-middle-class. Recently you have been fielding many questions from clients interested in the health benefits of organic foods, and now also increasing questions about whether foods sourced locally are healthier than those brought in from far away. You’ve read conflicting reports about the benefits and have decided you need to learn more. In the meantime, you conservatively counsel people to eat as wide a variety of foods as possible, including fresh foods when they’re available, but noting that frozen produce is just as beneficial, especially in the winter months when it’s difficult to come by truly fresh fruits and vegetables.

Jodi Rice
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You are an environmental activist with a specific interest in local foods. In particular, you are very interested in a local initiative that promotes finding fruit-bearing trees in the city, organizing picking parties in which 1/3 of the fruit goes to the landowner whose property the trees are on, 1/3 goes to the volunteer pickers, and 1/3 goes to a local food bank. You have also recently become very involved in the planning and running of a couple of area Farmers’ Markets, getting in touch with local food producers and co-ordinating their participation, publicizing the markets, and helping to maintain quality control so that customers are satisfied with the market and the goods they buy there. You have been very pleased with the modest but tangible success of these enterprises; you foresee increased enthusiasm for similar and spin-off enterprises in the future, and hope that more people will see the economic, health, and environmental benefits of supporting local food production, eating seasonally, and avoiding waste and non-sustainable ecological footprints.

You are a former teacher in her 50s living alone. Several years ago you were in a bad car accident, and as a result suffered injuries that made it impossible for you to return to work. Although you do some tutoring and other occasional work, it is difficult for you to work enough hours to make the kind of money you used to, and you have had to sell your home and move into a small apartment. With all your support network in the area, you made an effort to stay in the same neighbourhood where you formerly lived. Fortunately, there is a mixture of moderately-priced stores in the area. Still, sometimes you find it difficult to make ends meet, and more often than not rely on the food bank when your social assistance runs out toward the end of the month. You maintain a small garden patch in the backyard of the house where your apartment is, but in the winter months you get far less in the way of fruits and vegetables than you would like.

Students work in their groups to read through their stakeholder profiles (they can add any details that are in line with those they are provided to further flesh out the interests of the stakeholders). They should have an initial discussion in which they determine the kinds of issues that will be important to their stakeholder.

They should then read through the sources, discussing the following:

- Which sources address the stakeholder’s key concerns? In role as your stakeholder, do you find this information important/useful to you or not? Why?

- Which points will you select from the sources to use to support your position?

- Knowing that others hold other positions, which points will you select to argue against, and how?

- Can you think of any specific examples in your own experience and knowledge (or outlined in the provided material) that illustrate the points you have made – both in favour of your own position and showing the problems with the other possible positions?
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Have the students select spokespersons for their groups and have each group prepare a two-minute presentation to be delivered by the spokesperson in role as the stakeholder.

Stage your “community meeting” in which each stakeholder presents to the committee, using the source material and making the reasons for their positions very clear, including how they believe the policy will affect their community.

Provide the students with the initial prompt page from the exam question, and debrief in a discussion about how to approach the question as an argument that must be developed using the sources, whether they support the position or provide opportunities for refutation.

(For more discussion on the levels of argument implied by the prompt, see my blog post about this question here: http://blogs.bedfordstmartins.com/highschoolbits/uncategorized/synthesis-spot-the-stand/)